NATURAL BACKGROUND RADIATION AND POPULATION DOSE DISTRIBUTION IN INDIA

-

1 NIS900696

by

K.S.V. NAMBI, V.N. BAPAT, M. DAVID, V.K. SUNDARAM, C.M. SUNTA AND S.D. SOMAN



HEALTH PHYSICS DIVISION BHABHA ATOMIC RESEARCH CENTRE BOMBAY, INDIA 1986



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

NATURAL BACKGROUND RADIATION AND POPULATION DOSE DISTRIBUTION IN INCIA

by V.N. BAPA

K.S.V. NAMBI, V.N. BAPAT, M. DAVID, V.K. SUNDARAM, C.M. SUNTA AND S.D. SOMAN,

> HEALTH PHYSICS DIVISION BHABHA ATOMIC RESEARCH CENTRE BOMBAY, INDIA 1986

INIS Subject Category : C52; C55

Descriptors

THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS

NI 7 1

2

RADIATION MONITORING

NATURAL BACKGROUND RADIATION

ENVIRONMENT

POPULATION DOSES

AIR-KERMA RATES

SEASONAL VARIATIONS

INDIAN STATES

ABSTRACT

A country-wide survey of the outdoor natural background gamma radiation levels has been made using mailed thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs). 214 locations scattered all over India—more than 90% being the weather stations of the Indian Meteorological Department—have been covered by this study over a continuous period of a year and the radiation levels monitored on a quarterly basis. Each "field TLD" was always accompanied by a "mail control TLD" and in all about 2800 measurements are available. The salient features of the results are :

(i) The air-kerma levels and the population doses in various states follow log-normal and normal distributions respectively.

(ii) The national average value for the air dose (air-kerma) is 775 ±370 (1 σ) μ Gy/y.

(iii) The lowest air-kerma recorded is 0.23 mGy/y at Minicoy (Laccadive Islands) and the highest is 26.73 mGy/y at Chavra (monazite areas, Kerala).

(iv) There are significant temporal variations (even as high as $\pm 40\%$) of the background radiation level at many locations and at least in 10 locations where radon/thoron measurements are available, these could be associated with the seasonal variations in radon/thoron levels.

(v) The mail control TLDs indicate a country-wide average value of 785 \pm 225 μ Gy/y for the air-kerma which can be considered to provide a truly national average value for the natural background radiation level in India.

(vi) The mean natural radiation per caput for the country works out to be 690 ± 200 (1 σ) μ Sv/y.

(vii) The natural radiation per caput seems to be maximum for Andhra Pradesh (1065±325 μ Sv/y) and minimum for Maharashtra (370±80 μ Sv/y).

(viii) The population dose from the external natural background radiation is estimated to be half a million person-Sievert.

(ix) Assuming 1CRP risk factor, it can be estimated that just one out of the 43 cancer deaths occuring on an average per 100,000 population in India, can be attributed to the external natural background radiation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nearly 75% of the radiation dose to the public arises from the natural background. Mankind has in fact evolved in a natural background radiation environment which can be basically divided into four components:

(i) cosmic rays orginating in outer space: it has a slight variation with the latitude but increases markedly with altitude.

(ii) primordial radioactivity in the rocks and soils: the outer half-metre layer of the earth's crust contributes effectively to almost all of this component of the background radiation at any location; the radioistopes responsible are daughter products of natural U and Th and K-40.

(iii) internal radioactivity distributed within the body in its tissues: the major contribution arises from K-40.

(iv) lung irradiation due to radon and thoron inhaled through air and their daughter products; this is generally higher inside a building because of restricted ventilation.

A knowledge of the population exposure to natural radiation and the general distribution of exposu. as is not only important for its own sake but also because: (i) it creates a proper perspective vis-a-vis the radiation insult caused by the nuclear industry and (ii) it helps in epidemiological studies correlating radiation exposure.

This report presents the results obtained in a nation-wide survey of the natural background radiation comprising of the components (i) and (ii) stated above using mailed thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs).

2. MONITORING LOCATIONS AND PROCEDURES

There are always problems of all sorts in a nation-wide survey (Brown 1984) and we thought it advantageous to choose the class A weather stations of the India Meteorological Department (IMD) for exposing the TLDs:

(i) All exposures could be done in a standard way inside the Stevenson Screen of these weather stations.

(ii) Data on rainfall, humidity and temperature could also be available for the period of monitoring—these might be useful to evaluate the seasondependent variations in the natural background radiation.

(iii) As these weather stations are maintained by a central government department, continued cooperation during the twelve months of monitoring could be assured by inter-departmental understanding.

(iv) Chances of tampering of the dosimeters (out of curiosity) is almost nil—a major constraint if dosimeters were to be exposed in individual homes.

With the kind cooperation of the IMD the study could be conducted in three phases of one year each, a different set of weather stations being chosen for each phase. Always a pair of TLDs was mailed to a volunteering station one of which was immediately mailed back to us to serve as "mail control TLD"; the other was referred as "field TLD". After 3 months a second pair was despatched to the same station and the old "field TLD" was received back along with the present "mail control TLD". At the end of the year, only a "mail control TLD" was sent to the 2

station which came back with the last "field TLD". Thus a "field TLD" was always accompanied by a "mail control TLD". Any unusual extra exposure to the TLD during transit—for example by the presence of a radioactive package in the mail bag—could be easily noticed and the corresponding "field TLD" rejected.

At the end of the third and final phase, data were available for 202 stations; to this was added data for another 12 locations which are regularly monitored on a quarterly basis as a part of an environmental monitoring programme around nuclear installations in the country (Fig. 1). Each location is identified by a six digit number, the first digit indicating the phase during the which the location was monitored (Table 1), the next two digits identifying the state or union territory to which the station belongs (Table 2) and the last three digitis giving a serial number for the particular station.

3. THE ENVIRONMENTAL TLD

3.1 Dosimeter description

The environmental TL dosimeter used in this study consists of a pair of brass capsules filled with natural CaF₂ phosphor powder. The brass capsule has a wall thickness of 1.5 mm and the phosphor is contained in a cylindrical volume of 3 mm dia and 8 mm length. The phosphor powder (-80 +100 Tyler mesh size) is dispensed by a vibrator volume dispenser and the mass of powder filled in any capsule is typically 55 mg $\pm 2\%(a)$. These capsules are held firmly inside a plastic locket with a wall thickness of about 2 mm. The locket can be easily hung inside the Stevenson Screen at the weather stations using a hook provided in it. Fig 1a shows the photograph of the environmental TL dosimeter.

3.2 Performance characteristics

These have been dealt with in detail in an earlier report (Nambi et al 1983); the salient features have been summarised in table 3. In the Seventh International Environmental Dosimeter Intercomparison Project, these TLDs yielded estimates of environmental and laboratory exposures within $\pm 10\%$ of the true values (Gesell 1985).

3.3 Exposure Evaluation

The operation of an environmental TLD programme has been described in detail in an earlier report (Nambi 1979). The "irradiation history" of a "mail control TLD" or "field TLD" consists of successive periods with different rates of exposure as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The exposures (air-kerma) recorded by the mail control TLD (X_c) and field TLD (X_F) are given by

$$X_{C} = \dot{X}_{S} (t_{S1} + t_{S2}) + \dot{X}_{T} (t_{T1} + t_{T2}) + \dot{X}_{I} (t_{S1} + t_{S2} + t_{T1} + t_{T2}) \dots \dots (1)$$

$$X_F = \dot{X}_S (t_{S1} + t_{S2}) + \dot{X}_T (t_{T1} + t_{T2}) + \dot{X}_F t_F + \dot{X}_1 (t_{S1} + t_{S2} + t_{T1} + t_{T2}) \dots (2)$$

Where \dot{X}_s , \dot{X}_T and \dot{X}_F refer to air-kerma rates (μ Gy/day) during laboratory storage, mail transit and the field exposure; \dot{X}_1 is the self-irradiation rate in the phosphor due to its own radioactivity in equivalent air-kerma units. The pre-field storage, transit and post-field transit storage periods are represented by t with the subscripts s_1 , t_1 , t_2 and s_2 respectively. It should be noted that the value t_{T2} and t_{s_2} .

for the two TLDs need not be the same as any given field TLD is accompanied by independant mail control TLDs during the onward and return journeys. The average value of \dot{X}_T calculated for the two mail control TLDs is used in eqn. (2) to get the value of \dot{X}_F . The values of \dot{X}_s and \dot{X}_i are determined independantly for a given storage facility and the given TL dosimeter package.

4. RE SULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Station-wise results and the national average

The mean and standard deviation of the four values of the field and mail control TLDs for each location are given in Table 4. The values have been corrected for the characteristics mentioned in Table 3. A multiplication factor of 8.7 has been employed to convert air exposure values (mR) into air-kerma units μ Gy). All quarterly readings are standardised to a period of 91.5 days and the mean of these have been listed in the Table. The national average value calculated for 365 days both from the field and transit doses have been given at the bottom of the Table. If the very high readings pertaining to the monazite areas of Kerala and Tamilnadu are excluded, a more realistic national mean of 765±300 μ Gy/year is obtained and the mail control TLDs of all stations lead to a national mean of 785±225 μ Gy/year. The standard deviation value obtained on the quarterly averages for each station is considered to reflect the seasonal variations in the environmental gamma dose-rate (sec.4.3); the standard deviation for the national mean is therefore obtained on the distribution of the annual air-kerma values of all stations. The close agreement between the values obtained from the field and mail control TLDs is taken as a measure of self-consistency in the dosimetric approach of the present study. Although the mail control TLD readings are individually quite low amounting to a few μ Gy only and consequently the reading inaccuracies rather high, the close agreement between the two bears also testimony to the satisfactory performance of our environmental TLDs.

It should be emphasised here that each dosimeter yields two estimates from the two capsules inside it. These are usually within $\pm 2\%$

t

ż

The loss of dosimeters either in transit or otherwise has been in the neighbourhood of 5–10% during all the three phases. There are also stations where only one quarterly measurement was ultimately obtained which are not included in the final analysis. Thus at the end of the study effectively 739×2 (= 1478) field readings and 661×2 (= 1322) mail control readings could be available; these 2800 quarterly measurements have lead to the national mean value of $775\pm370 \ \mu$ Gy/y for the air-kerma in India due to outdoor natural back-ground gamma radiation (terrestrial + cosmic and excluding monazite areas).

Yet another important aspect of the results is the more or less constant value obtained for the national mean in the three independent phases of the study (Table 5). This indicates that our monitoring locations are indeed uniformly distributed in each phase and the mean obtained for the natural background radiation adequately represents the national mean.

4.2 State-wise distribution of the radiation per caput of the populations

In order to obtain a perspective on the population doses over the entire country, the "field" results pertaining to each state/union territory have been separately considered along with the population figures known for 1981 in each monitoring location and the population weighted mean calculated for each state/union territory. These population-weighted mean exposure values are converted into whole body absorbed doses by using appropriate factors pertaining to house shielding, house occupancy and conversion of exposure units to μ Sv units for the natural background radiation.

The overall effect of the radioactivity in the building materials and their shielding efficiency to external radiations (terrestrial and cosmic) seem to give rise to an indoor to outdoor exposure ratio of 1.195 ± 0.243 (1 σ) for four different types of houses considered here (Table 6). UNSCEAR (1982) reports values in the range of 0.70 to 1.8 for this ratio in various countries of the world with different types of houses taken into account and recommends a world-average value of 1.2.

The home occupancy factor for the Indian population weighted for five major categories is calculated to be 0.85 (Table 7). Hence the actual exposure received by each individual is $(1.195 \times 0.85 + 1.000 \times 0.15) = 1.17$ times the external exposure measured outdoors. O'Brien & Sanna (1978) have given absorbed dose rate conversion factors for scaled down MIRD Phantom with a weight of 58 kg and height of 163 cm and exposed to natural gamma rays. An average Indian adult is reported to be weighing 56.2 kg and 167 cm tall (ShivDatta et al 1982). We have used conversion factors of 5.21, 6.61 and 7.75 respectively for the scattered, uncollided and cosmic components of natural radiations spectrum to obtain μ Sv from mR values (O'Brien & Sanna 1978). Assuming the cosmic background radiation value appropriate to respective altitudes and a 15% scatter component to the terrestrial radiations (Beck 1972), the break-up of the three components of the natural background radiation and the weighted conversion factor, μ Sv/mR has been calculated for five major cities in India (Table 8) and the mean value is $6.83 \pm 3\%$; including the housing factor, the nett multiplying factor to be used to obtain μ Sv from mR values is (6.83 × 1.17 =) 8.0 [UNSCEAR (1982) recommends a value of 0.7 for the average value of the quotient of effective dose equivalent rate to absorbed dose rate in air for the terrestrial radiation exposure; in terms of μ Sv/mR ratio this works out to be 6.09; the ratio 6.83 evaluated in this study includes the cosmic component as well].

The population doses calculated for the individual states/union territories are presented in Table 9. The population wighted average for all the states excluding monazite areas, works out to be 690 μ Sv/y and this value has been used for the population of 3.511 million living in areas not covered by our dosimetric survey. Detailed dosimetry data are available for the monazite areas (Sunta et al 1961) and this has been entered separately in Table 9. The population dose for the entire country is 0.5 million person-Sievert per year and national mean for the radiation per caput is 690 μ Sv/y excluding the areas of the monazite deposits. (A higher value of 730 μ Sv/y is obtained when these high background areas are included).

 $\mathbf{\hat{\omega}}$

5

ŝ

4.3 Temporal variation of the natural background radiation at any given Location

As mentioned in Sec. 4.1 earlier, the deviations seen in the four quarterly values of the natural background gamma exposure is thought to arise from the seasonal variations in the radon, thoron emanations from soil into the air. This is because about 97% of the gamma dose from the Uranium series is due to the post-radon decay products while the post-thoron decay products account for about 60% of the total gamma dose from Thorium series. The concentrations of radon and thoron in the air depend on their emanation rate from the soil, meteorological and geographical factors and height above the ground surface. It is usually reported that these concentrations are maximum around early winter; the extents of variations are different however owing to the short half lives of thoron as compared to radon (Rangarajan et al 1974).

Their results have been plotted along with the TLD readings for ten cities in figs. 3 & 4. An interesting feature is the qualitative agreement between the trends in the variations of TLD readings and the radon-thoron daughter concentrations in air. It is also clearly seen that the ratio between the maximum to minimum is at least a factor lower than that for the radon-thoron daughter concentration indicating that the gamma dose contributed by these daughter products constitute only a fraction of the total external dose recorded by TLD. U, Th Series are reported to account for about 30% of the external γ -dose rate in India (Mishra & Sadashivan, 1971). It is however very interesting to find that TLDs are sensitive enough to reflect the seasonal variations in concentrations of radon-thoron daughters in ambient air. In some of the places at least e.g. Bangalore, Nagpur, Bombay and Trivandrum—the trends are nearly parallel to each other and there seems to be a possibility of obtaining a conversion factor to the lung dose due to radon, thoron daughters from TLD readings. These aspects will be dealt with in a later communication.

4.4 Nature of distribution of the air-kerma values and the population doses

One of the important findings in the present study has been that all air-kerma evaluations i.e. field and transit dose readings have always lead to log-normal distribution but the population weighted state averages are found to be normally distributed.

i) The air-kerma value of all the stations: median: 707 μ Gy/y; geom. deviation: 1.46 (fig. 5); the same is presented in a histogram in figure 3.

ii) The air-kerma value of all the transit exposures: median: 748 μ Gy/y; geom. deviation: 1.43 (figure 7).

iii) Seasonal variation of the air-kerma value at a location: Figure 8 gives results for typical cases of Raipur and Gangtok where the survey was extended for more than one year and therefore more data could be available for a log-normal analysis.

iv) Population-weighted state average: mean 688 μ Sv/y; Standard deviation: 200 (fig. 9).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Mishra and Sadasivan (1971) have projected a national average value of 70.7 mr/y (= 707 μ Gy/y) based on radioactivity analysis of soil samples from all over India and assuming uniform cosmic component of 28.7 mr/y. When altitude effects are properly accounted for, their soil activity-derived total external background radiation levels do match with our TLD data atleast in the case of five major cities (Nambi et al 1985). The present study has yielded a national average value of 775 μ Gy/y which should be considered more accurate as large number of locations have been covered and the measurements made include the actual cosmic and scattered components. The mean, population weighted mean and the median values of the air-kerma in individual states/union territories have been presented in Table 10. If one excludes the monazite areas, it is seen that the two populous states of Andhra Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh have nearly twice as much natural background radiation levels prevalent in Maharashtra having also a significant population. The lowest air-kerma value is encountered in Laccadive islands. The TLD results in general seem to be consistent with the various geological units of the Indian land Mass (Nambi et al 1985). The Deccan Plateau, consisting mostly of basalts of very low primordial radioactivity has shown the lowest radiation levels in ambient air; the monazite regions of the western and eastern coasts at the southern most points and the regions of metamorphic and granitic rocks of northern and north eastern region of Archaen and unclassified rocks have shown the highest radiation levels. A very detailed study correlating the radioactivity levels in different rock formations of Indian Geology with the TLD recorded exposure data will be published separately (Sankaran et al 1986).

A comparision of the air-kerma values for various countries as summarised by Beninson et al (1977) with the presently obtained values for India is presented in Table 11 (cosmic ray dose rates ranging between 280 and 300 μ Gy/y have been added to the terrestrial dose rates quoted by Beninson). Table 12 presents a comparison of the Indian Radiation Per Caput value with those reported for USA and UK for the natural background external radiation.

Assuming the ICRP risk factor of 1.25×10^{-2} Sv⁻¹ (ICRP 1977) the natural background external radiation induced population dose of 501655 person Sv (Table 9) is expected to result in just one excess death per 100,000 population annually. This is hardly 2% of the total cancer fatalities and 0.06% of total deaths occuring annually in the country (Table 13).

Acknowledgements

į.

٩.

This study could not have been possible without the splendid cooperation extended by the India Meteorology Department and their Class A stations throughout the country. Also, we would like to make special mention about Dr. Srivastava, DDG (instruments), Meteorological Survey of India, Pune who helped us greately in initiating this programme of study with the various meteorological observatories. We also acknowledge the help rendered by Shri D.T. Khatri and Shri A.R. Kamat during the project work.

References

1. Beck H.L. 1972. Physics of environmental gamma fields, Natural Radiation Environment II Proc. of Conf-720805 Vol J pp. 101-133.

- 20

 $\frac{2}{c}$

4

- 2. Beninson D.J. Bouville A. O'Brien B.J. and Sniha J. 1977. Dosimetric implications of the exposure to the natural sources of irradiation, Proc. Int. Symp. on areas of high natural radioactivity, Acad. Brazileria de Ciencias, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 75-106.
- 3. Dinesh Mohan 1982. Accidental deaths and disability in India-a case of criminal neglect, Industrial safety Chronicle April-June, pp. 24-43.
- 4. Gesell T.F. 1985. Preliminary report of the Seventh International Environment Dosimeter Intercomparison Project, US Dept. of Energy, (Private communication).
- 5. Hughes J.S. 1985. The radiation exposure of the UK population, Radiological Protection Bulletin (U.K.) 62, pp. 8-13.
- 6. ICRP 1977 Recommendations of the ICRP-Revision of ICRP-9, Ann. ICRP, Vol. I, No.3.
- 7. Johnson R.H., Nelson N.S., Goldin A.S. and Gesell T.F. 1981. Natural radiation quality of the environment in the United States in 'Natural Radiation Environment' Eds. K.G. Vohra et al. Wiley Eastern, New Delhi, pp. 180-187.
- 8. Mishra U.C. and Sadasivan S. 1971. Natural radioactivity levels in Indian soils J. Sci. Industr. Research 30, 59-62.
- 9. Nambi K.S.V. 1979. Environmental radiation surveillance using thermoluminescence dosimeters BARC Rept. No. I/575. 32 p.
- Nambi K.S.V., Basu A.S., Iyengar M.A.R. and Kannan V. 1983. Preoperational external radiation monitoring in the Kalpakkam environs using TLD, BARC Rept. 1214, 32 p.
- 11. Nambi K.S.V., David M., Basu A.S. and Sunta C.M. 1985. Environmental radioactivity and thermoluminescence: A review, J. Environ. Radioactivity 2, pp. 559-75.
- 12. O'Brien K. and Sanna R. 1978. The effect of the male-female body size difference on absorbed dose rate distributions in human from natural gamma rays, Health Phys. 34, pp. 107-112.
- 13. Padmanabha P. 1981. Census of India 1981 published by Registrar General and Census Commisioner of India, New Delhi.
- 14. Rangarajan C., Gopalakrishnan S. and Eapen C.D. 1974. The diurnal and seasonal changes in short lived radon-thoron daughters concentration in coastal and Inland regions of India, Pure Appl. Geophys. 112, pp. 941-953.
- 15. Sankaran A.V., Nambi K.S.V. and Sunta C.M. 1986. (under publication).
- 16. Shiv Datta, Sharma R.C. and Sunta C.M. 1982. Height and weight distribution of lowermiddle income group of radiation workers. Ind. J. Med. Res. 76, pp. 924-929.
- Sunta C.M., David M., Abani M.C., Basu A.S. and Nambi K.S.V. 1981. Analysis of Dosimetry data of High Natural Radioactivity Areas of SW coast of India in Natural Radiation Environment by K.G. Vohra et al (eds) Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 35-42.
- UNSCEAR 1962. Ionising radiation: Sources, and Biological Effects United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation 1982, Report to the General Assembly, p. 96.

| Code no. for the phase | Period of survey | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 0 | continuing routine monitoring | |
| 1 | April 1981 — March 1982 | |
| 2 | April 1982 — March 1983 | |
| 3 | April 1983 — March 1984 | |

TABLE 1. CODING LIST FOR THE DIFFERENT PHASES OF THE SURVEY

TABLE 2. CODING LIST FOR STATES AND UNION TERRITORIES

| State/Union territory | code no. | Area in 10 ⁴ km ² | No. of locations monitored |
|-----------------------|----------|---|----------------------------|
| Jammu and Kashmir | 01 | 22.224 | 7 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 02 | 5.564 | 2 |
| Punjab | 03 | 5.036 | 3 |
| Haryana | 04 | 4.422 | 2 |
| Delhi | 05 | 0.149 | 2 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 06 | 29.441 | 20 |
| Bihar | 07 | 17.388 | 11 |
| Sikkim | 08 | 0.730 | 2 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 09 | 8.358 | - |
| Nagaland | 10 | 1.653 | — |
| Manipur | 11 | 2.236 | — |
| Mizoram | 12 | 2.109 | |
| Tripura | 13 | 1.048 | 2 |
| Meghalay | 14 | 2.249 | 3 |
| Assam | 15 | 7.852 | 6 |
| West Bengal | 16 | 18.784 | 8 |
| Orissa | 17 | 15.578 | 10 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 18 | 44.284 | 20 |
| Rajasthan | 19 | 34.221 | 14 |
| Gujarat | 20 | 19.598 | 14 |
| Maharashtra | 21 | 30.776 | 24 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 22 | 27.681 | 16 |
| Karnataka | 23 | 19.177 | 16 |
| Goa | 24 | 0.127 | 2 |
| Kerala | 25 | 3.886 | 6 |
| Tamilnadu | 26 | 13.007 | 17 |
| Daman | 27 | 0.127 | 1 |
| Diu | 28 | 0.127 | 1 |
| Pondicherry | 29 | 0.048 | 1 |
| Andaman | 30 | 0.829 | 1 |
| Laccadiv | 31 | 0.003 | 3 |

ð,

もいまたというないの

TABLE 3. PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL TLD

| | Characteristics | Performance |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | a) Energy dependence | ±20% between 50 and 1250 keV |
| 2. | Fading | 3% in 3 months of continuous exp. |
| З. | Directional response | nearly isotropic |
| 4. | Self dose-rate | 26.8 μGy/y |
| 5. | Precision | ±5% |
| 6 . | Accuracy (1 - 10 mR range) | ±10% |

TABLE 4. FIELD AND MAIL CONTROL TLD RESULTS FOR ALL STATIONS

(Quarterly averages)

| Sr No | Station (State Code) | Air-kerma micro-Gy/quarter | | Transit dose in micro-Gv/day | |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|
| 011110 | | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D |
| 1. | Adilabad (22) | 288. | 0. | 4.39 | .00 |
| 2 . | Agartala(13) | 168. | 0. | 2.35 | .00 |
| 3. | Agra (06) | 195. | 0. | 2.04 | .82 |
| 4. | Ahmedabad (20) | 141. | 5. | 1.39 | .61 |
| 5. | Akola (21) | 87. | 26. | 2.09 | .71 |
| 6. | Alibaug (21) | 95. | 25. | 2.09 | .74 |
| 7. | Aligarh (06) | 284. | 2. | 2.35 | .00 |
| 8. | Allahabad (06) | 292. | 41. | 3.13 | .00 |
| 9. | Alleppey (25) | 177. | 37. | 2.70 | .30 |
| 10. | Ambala (04) | 227. | 40. | 2.28 | .34 |
| 11. | Ambikapur (18) | 262. | 73. | 2.20 | .48 |
| 12. | Amridivi (31) | 87. | 17. | 1.65 | .12 |
| 13. | Amritsar (03) | 191. | 27. | 1.33 | .48 |
| 14. | Anantpur (22) | 154. | 15. | 1.57 | .30 |
| 15. | Atalgarh (01) | 253. | 36. | 2.87 | .12 |
| 16. | Aurangabad (21) | 93. | 5. | 1.13 | .25 |
| 17. | Bahraich (06) | 251. | 7. | 2.70 | .45 |
| 18. | Balassore (17) | 140. | 49 | 2.70 | .48 |
| 19. | Banaras (06) | 237. | 10. | 3.13 | .00 |
| 20. | Banda (06) | 232. | 51. | 2.87 | .00 |
| 21. | Bangalore—A (23) | 130. | 0. | 1.45 | .10 |
| 22. | Bangalore—B (23) | † 97 . | 8. | 1.91 | .00 |
| 23. | Bankura (16) | 283. | 45. | 3.07 | .58 |
| 24. | Bapatla (22) | 130. | 28. | 2.22 | .25 |
| 25. | Baramati (21) | 102. | 49. | 2.29 | .93 |
| 26. | Bareilly (06) | 22 8. | 24. | 1.91 | 1.20 |
| 2 7. | Barmer (19) | 180. | 18. | 1.89 | .29 |
| 28 . | Baroda (20) | 143. | 23. | 1.76 | 0.4 |
| 2 9 . | Batote (01) | 221. | 29. | 2.07 | .11 |
| 30. | Behrampur (16) | 240. | 55. | 2.91 | .32 |
| 31. | Belgaum—A (23) | 170. | 5. | — | |
| 32. | Belgaum—B (23) | 1 28 . | 25. | 2.35 | 1.02 |
| 33. | Bellary (23) | 179. | 61. | 2.74 | .66 |

10

| TABLE 4. FIELD AND MAIL | CONTROL TLD I | RESULTS FOR A | ALL STATIONS | (Contd.) |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|

| Sr no | | Air-kerma in | | Transit dose in | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Sr. no. Station (State Code) | | Mean | y/quarter | Mean | -Gy/day SD |
| | | Ivican | <u> </u> | INCALL | <u> </u> |
| 34. | Betui (18) | 293. | 50 . | 2.06 | .18 |
| 35. | Bhagalpur (07) | 338. | 57. | 2.63 | .19 |
| 36. | Bhavnagar (20) | 125. | 37. | 1.70 | .18 |
| 37. | Bhilwara (19) | 170. | 34. | 2.44 | .42 |
| 38 . | Bhopal (18) | 127. | 19. | 1.48 | .12 |
| 39 . | Bhuj (20) | 139. | 15. | 1.48 | .54 |
| 40. | Bhuntar (02) | 302. | 48. | 2.70 | .40 |
| 41. | Bhuvaneshwar (17) | 180. | 20. | 2.20 | 1.00 |
| 42. | Bijapur (23) | 119. | 41. | 1.94 | .69 |
| 43. | Bikaner (19) | 144. | 13. | 2.33 | .29 |
| 44. | Bilaspur (18) | 200. | 30. | 2.22 | .57 |
| 45. | Bir (21) | 129. | 21. | 2.04 | 1.03 |
| 46. | Bombay—A (21) | 108. | 19. | .52 | .00 |
| 47. | Bombay—B (21) | 89. | 8. | 1.45 | .05 |
| 48. | Brahmapuri (21) | 197. | 36. | 2.11 | .44 |
| 49 . | Calcutta—A (16) | 181. | 9. | 2.18 | .25 |
| 50. | Calcutta—B (16) | 220. | 18. | 1.04 | .00 |
| 51. | Calicut (25) | 122. | 1. | 1.33 | .18 |
| 52. | Champa (18) | 207. | 46. | 2.58 | .63 |
| 53. | Chandrapur (21) | 228. | 22. | 2.41 | .53 |
| 54. | Chavra (25) | 1305. | 0. | 2.61 | .00 |
| 55 . | Cherapunj (14) | 138. | 26. | 2.50 | .40 |
| 56 . | Chingleput (26) | 250. | 41. | _ | _ |
| 57 . | Chitradurga (23) | 128. | 7. | 2.44 | .33 |
| 58 . | Churu (19) | 177. | 31. | 2.09 | .19 |
| 5 9 . | Cochin (25) | 143. | 10. | 3.07 | .69 |
| 60. | Coimbatore (26) | 170. | 25. | 2.41 | .46 |
| 61. | Cooch Bihar (16) | 210. | 21. | 2.33 | .30 |
| 62. | Cuddalore (26) | 124. | 2. | 1.83 | .38 |
| 63. | Dahanu (21) | 110. | 23. | 2.46 | .54 |
| 64 . | Daltanganj (07) | 243. | 38. | 3.00 | .44 |
| 65 . | Daman (27) | 133. | 11. | 1.30 | .00 |
| 66. | Darbhanga (07) | 212. | 51. | 2.44 | 1.31 |
| 67. | Deesa (20) | 168. | 35. | 2.24 | .40 |
| 68 . | Dehradun (06) | 217. | 29. | 1.26 | .46 |
| 69 . | Devgad (21) | 178. | 14. | 2.23 | 1.05 |
| 70. | Dhanbad (07) | 170. | 34. | 1.89 | .38 |
| 71. | Dharamsala (02) | 252. | 33. | 2.75 | .20 |
| 72. | Dhubri (15) | 217. | D . | 1.57 | .00 |
| 73. | Dibrugharh (15) | 187. | 40. | 2.35 | .62 |
| 74. | Diu (28) | 108. | 13. | 2.29 | .35 |
| 75 . | Dohad (20) | 244. | 24. | 2.87 | .00 |
| 76. | Fatenpur (06) | 204. | 88. | 2.41 | .02 |
| 77. | Ferozpur (03) | 225. | 47. | 2.96 | .03 |
| 78. | Gadag (23) | 173. | 15. | 1.11 | .57 |
| 79. 20 | Gangtok (U8) | 282. | 32. | 2.70 | .00 |
| 80. | Gannavaram (22) | 121. | 13. 00 | 1.91 | .02 97 |
| 81. | | 204. 104 | 22. | 2.44 1 77 | .37 |
| 82. | Gaya (U/) | 104. | JS. | 1.77 | .10 |

. . . .

The second s

(Quarterly averages)

11

• •

TABLE 4. FIELD AND MAIL CONTROL TLD RESULTS FOR ALL STATIONS (Contd.)

| Sr. no | Station (State Code) | Air-ke | erma in | Transit dose in | |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|------|
| 01. 110. | Glation (Glate Gode) | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D |
| 83. | Gonda (06) | 315 | 2 | 3 30 | |
| 84. | Gopalpur (17) | 648 | 40 | 2.06 | .00 |
| 85. | Gorakhpur (06) | 241 | -0. | 1 22 | .20 |
| 86. | Gulbarga (23) | 82 | 14 | 2 18 | .02 |
| 87. | Gulmara (01) | 211 | 25 | 2.10 | .17 |
| 88. | Guna (18) | 182 | 24 | 1 96 | 30 |
| 89. | Gwalior (18) | 190. | 18. | 1.33 | .00 |
| 90. | Hardoi (06) | 280. | 47. | 3 18 | 82 |
| 91. | Haripara (01) | 215. | 5. | 2 35 | 33 |
| 92. | Harnai (21) | 89. | 34. | 2.39 | .63 |
| 93. | Honavar (23) | 169. | 25. | 1.83 | .55 |
| 94. | Hoshangabad (18) | 231. | 40. | 2.61 | .00 |
| 95. | Hyderabad (22) | 343. | 25. | 2.12 | .28 |
| 96. | Idar (20) | 321. | 86. | 2.61 | .89 |
| 97. | Indore (18) | 93. | 8. | 1,15 | .26 |
| 98. | Jabalpur (18) | 202. | 30. | 1.76 | .29 |
| 99. | Jaduquda (07) | 200. | 18. | 1.76 | .51 |
| 100. | Jagdalpur (18) | 245. | 4. | 1.33 | .32 |
| 101. | Jaipur (19) | 172. | 14. | 2.61 | .00 |
| 102. | Jaisalmer (19) | 144. | 4. | 1.26 | .15 |
| 103. | Jalgaon (21) | 124. | 9. | 1.86 | .61 |
| 104. | Jamshedpur (07) | 236. | 40. | <u> </u> | |
| 105. | Jarsuouda (17) | 302. | 4. | 2.20 | .63 |
| 106. | Jeur (21) | 148. | 12. | 1.30 | .00 |
| 107. | Jhansi (06) | 306. | 80. | 3.24 | .23 |
| 108. | Jodhpur (19) | 168. | 10. | 1.39 | .00 |
| 109. | Kadma (07) | 242. | 29. | 2.38 | .41 |
| 110. | Kailasnagar (13) | 175. | 42. | 2.28 | .48 |
| 111. | Kakinada (22) | 178. | 12. | 2.00 | .37 |
| 112. | Kalpakkam (26) | 277. | 36. | 2.65 | .64 |
| 113. | Kammam (22) | 283. | 53. | 2.87 | .41 |
| 114. | Kanvakumari (26) | 1281. | 144. | 2.89 | .49 |
| 115. | Karaikkal (29) | 232. | 26. | 1.07 | .50 |
| 116. | Karwar (23) | 136. | 28. | 2.11 | .58 |
| 117. | Keshod (20) | 199. | 5. | 2.00 | .54 |
| 118. | Khandwa (18) | 115. | 18. | 2.33 | .29 |
| 119. | Kharagpur (16) | 197. | 19. | _ | |
| 1 2 0. | Kodaikkanal (26) | 152. | 9. | 1.48 | .00 |
| 121. | Kolhapur (21) | 122. | 38. | 1.87 | .57 |
| 122. | Koraput (17) | 407. | 54. | 2.76 | 1.00 |
| 123. | Kota (19) | 109. | 20 . | 1.7 4 | .25 |
| 124. | Kupwara (01) | 216 . | 38. | 3.65 | 1.60 |
| 125. | Kurnool (22) | 1 66 . | 27. | 1.98 | .60 |
| 126. | Lakhimpur-north (15) | 197. | 17. | 2.37 | .04 |
| 127. | Lucknow (06) | 265. | 0. | 2.00 | .49 |
| 128. | Machalipattanam (22) | 182. | 16. | 2.31 | .18 |
| 129. | Madras-A (26) | 231. | 10. | 1.00 | .06 |
| 130. | Madras—B (26) | 176. | 3. | 1.91 | .00 |
| 131. | Madurai (26) | 259. | 45. | 2.70 | .83 |

(Quarterly averages)

TABLE 4. FIELD AND MAIL CONTROL TLD RESULTS FOR ALL STATIONS (Contd.)

Air-kerma in Transit dose in Sr. no. Station (State Code) micro-Gy/quarter micro-Gy/day Mean S.D. Mean S.D 132. Mainpuri (06) 287. 53. 2.72 .75 133. Malegaon Camp (21) 136. 14. 2.15 .47 134. Mangalore (23) 115. 19. 1.48 .23 135. Marmagoa (24) 168. 2. 1.17 .31 136. Mercara (23) 104. 33. 2.48 .11 137. 56. Minicoy-A (31) 9. 138. 83. Minicoy-B (31) 5. 1.54 .26 139. Moradabad (06) 228. 46. 2.09 .38 140. 347. Mukhim (06) 44. 2.78 .14 141. 163. 20. Mysore (23) 2.26 .48 142. Nagapattinam (26) 141. 14. 1.83 .30 143. 121. Nagpur (21) 13. 1.60 .35 144. Najibabad (06) 190. 11. 2.74 .36 145. 260. 23. Narora (06) 1.89 .99 146. Nasik (21) 92. 13. 1.61 .43 147. 201. Nellore (22) 14. 1.25 .36 148. New Delhi-A (05) 181. 11. 2.13 .68 149. New Delhi-B (05) 180. 14. 1.39 .44 150. Nizamabad (22) 370. 44. 4.12 .73 151. Okha (20) 135. 5. 2.61 .00 152. Omrai (14) 184. 41. 2.39 .36 153. Ongole (22) 204. 28. 2.46 .19 154. Palayamkottai (26) 219. 35. 3.57 1.01 155. Pamban (26) 118. 19. 2.48 .40 156. Panaghar (16) 141. 5. 2.06 1.25 157. Paniim (24) 158. 16. 1.60 .43 158. Paradeep (17) 182. 9. 1.22 .37 159. Parbhani (21) 134. 20. 2.03 .75 160. Patiala (03) 160. 34. 1.94 .44 161. Patna (07) 244. 20. 2.09 1.15 162. 139. Pattambi (25) 11. 2.41 .31 163. 148. Phalodi (19) 7. 2.15 1.06 164. Phulbani (17) 322. 94. 3.63 .28 165. 134. Porbondar (20) 21. 1.94 .49 166. Port Blair (30) 123. 12. 1.70 .80 167. Pune (21) 83. 11. 1.25 .18 168. Puri (17) 338. 35. 3.28 .43 169. Quazigund (01) 205. 26. 2.63 .48 170. Radhanpur (20) 187. 10. 2.38 .13 171. Rahuri (21) 106. 20. 1.87 .54 172. Raichur (23) 357. 48. 2.78 .70 173. Raigarh (18) 301. 42. 1.74 .00 174. Raipur-A (18) 154. 12. 1.54 .61 175. Raipur—B (18) 177. 32. 2.09 .55 176. 80. Rajkot (20) 19. 1.36 .20 177. Ramagundan (17) 156. 13. 1.74 .53 178. Ranapratap Sagar (19) 135. 38. 1.67 .13 179. Ranchi (07) 315. 73. 2.64 .10 180. Ratiam (18) 131. 21. 2.20 .50

i ر.

- それの方法には国際部署におけたためには、部署に対象に

(Quarterly averages)

ı

4

2

| TABLE 4. FIELD AND M. | IL CONTROL TL | D RESULTS FOR | ALL STATIONS (Contd.) |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|

| | | Air-ke | rma in | Transit | dose in |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Sr. no. | Station (State Code) | micro-Gy/quarter | | micro-Gy/day | |
| | | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D |
| 181. | Ratnagiri (21) | 146. | 12. | 1.17 | .46 |
| 182. | Raxaul (07) | 225. | 48 . | 2.35 | .38 |
| 183. | Rohtak (04) | 210. | 32. | 2.70 | .71 |
| 184 | Sagar (18) | 121. | 43. | 2.47 | .22 |
| 185. | Salem (26) | 8 6 . | 26. | 1.98 | .48 |
| 186 | Sambalpur (17) | 190. | 34. | 2.39 | .23 |
| 187 | Satana (18) | 193. | 28 . | 1.91 | .43 |
| 188. | Shantiniketan (16) | 169. | 37. | 2.35 | 1.11 |
| 189. | Shilong (14) | 199. | 12. | 1.52 | .43 |
| 190. | Shimoga (23) | 141. | 3. | 2.39 | .53 |
| 191. | Shivpuri (18) | 220 . | 55. | 1.44 | .18 |
| 192. | Sikar (19) | 173. | 18. | 2.31 | .31 |
| 193. | Silchar (15) | 203. | 19. | 1.22 | .00 |
| 194. | Solapur (21) | 74. | 1. | 1.13 | .26 |
| 195. | Sriganga Nagar (19) | 228. | 21. | 2.78 | .59 |
| 196. | Srikakulam (22) | 352. | 54. | 2.70 | .24 |
| 197. | Srinagar (01) | 204. | 24. | 2.37 | .11 |
| 1 98 . | Surat (20) | 120. | 32 . | 1.83 | .55 |
| 199. | Tarapur (21) | 11 9 . | 12. | 1.46 | .23 |
| 200. | Tedang (08) | 239. | 28. | 2.87 | .90 |
| 201. | Tehri (06) | 119. | 2 5. | 2.76 | .55 |
| 202. | Tezpur (15) | 205. | 21. | 1.65 | _ |
| 203. | Thondi (26) | 119. | 27. | 2.50 | .68 |
| 204. | Tonk (19) | 234. | 54. | 2.44 | .86 |
| 205. | Trichirappalli (26) | 179. | 7. | 1.48 | .26 |
| 206. | Trivandrum (25) | 226. | 23. | 1.78 | .64 |
| 207. | Tuticorin (26) | 138. | 33. | 2.48 | .57 |
| 208. | Udaipur (19) | 179. | 16. | 2.72 | 1.17 |
| 209. | Umaria (18) | 208. | 38 . | 2.41 | .61 |
| 210. | Vellore (26) | 164. | 25. | 2.20 | .74 |
| 211 . | Veraval (20) | 84. | 16. | 1.70 | .06 |
| 212. | VishakapattinamA (22) | 226. | 18. | 2.09 | .31 |
| 213. | VishakapattinamB (22) | 240. | 13. | 1.51 | .59 |
| 214. | Vishakapattinam—C (22) | 229. | 13. | 1.57 | .35 |

÷

(Quarterly averages)

Field results

۶.

. .

| Annual average | : 806. ± 524. micro-Gy/year |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Population weighted annual average | : 658. ± 259. micro-Sv/year |
| Annual average | : 764. ± 297. micro-Gy/year |
| Population weighted annual average | : 656. ± 240. micro-Sv/year |
| (The last two averages have been con | nputed excluding monazite areas) |

۰.

Mail control results

| Annual average | : 784. ± 224. mirco-Gy/year |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Annual average | : 782. ± 224. micro-Gy/year |
| (The last average has been compu | ted excluding monazite areas) |

TABLE 5. VARIATIONS IN THE NATIONAL MEAN VALUE FOR THE NATURAL BACKGROUND RADIATION DURING THE VARIOUS PHASES OF THE STUDY

| Phase of study | Total No. of met. stations at the end of the phase | National mean* for natural background radiation $\pm 1 \sigma (\mu Gy/y)$ |
|----------------|--|---|
| 1 | 71 | 730 ± 315 |
| 2 | 136 | 715 ± 235 |
| 3 | 202 | 770 ± 270 |
| 0-3 | 214 | 770 ± 300 |

* excluding the monazite area

TABLE 6. INDOOR/OUTDOOR EXPOSURE RATIO IN TYPICAL HOUSES IN INDIA

| Sr. No. | Place (No. of hous | es monitored) | Type of house (Bidg. material) | Indoor/outdoor exp.* ratio $\pm 1\sigma$ | |
|---------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. | Monazite are | as, | | | |
| | Kerala | (952) | hut (clay, palmleaves, wood) | 0.82 ± 0.10 | |
| 2. | Bombay | (5) | Concrete | 1.14 ± 0.12 | |
| 3. | Hyderabad | (3) | Cement, Granite | 1.40 ± 0.18 | |
| 4. | Alwaye | (2) | Bricks, mortar | 1.42 ± 0.20 | |
| | | | Mean | 1.195 ± 20% | |

*(terrestrial + cosmic) exposure

TABLE 7. CATEGORIES OF INDIAN POPULATION AND HOUSE OCCUPANCY FACTORS

| Sr. No. | Category | % of population | House Occupancy factor |
|---------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1. | Women | 44 | 1.00 |
| 2. | Childrenc5 yrs | 14 | 1.00 |
| З. | Old people (> 60 yrs) | 6 .5 | 1.00 |
| 4. | Agricultural Labourers | 25 | 0.50 |
| 5. | Rest | 10.5 | 0.75 |
| | | Weighted N | Mean 0.85 |

| | Natura | l backgroun | Components (%) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| City | Total | Cosinic | Terrestrial | Uncoll- | Scat- | Cosmic | Weighted |
| (altitude) | (measured) | (assumed) | Scattered (total-Cos) | terrestria | al | | C.F.⁵ µSv/mR |
| Bombay (sea-level) | 48.4 | 28.0 | 20.4 | 36 | 6 | 58 | 7.03 |
| Calcutta (sea-level) | 81.0 | 28.0 | 53.0 | 55 | 10 | 35 | 6.62 |
| Delhi (216 m) | 70.0 | 31.0 | 39.0 | 48 | 8 | 44 | 6.68 |
| Madras (sea-level) Banga- | 79.0 | 28.0 | 51.0 | 55 | 10 | 35 | 6.62 |
| lore | 82.5 | 44.0 | 38.5 | 40 | 7 | 53 | 6.94 |
| (921 m) | | | | _ | | Mear | n 6.83 ± 3% |

TABLE 8. COMPONENTS OF THE NATURAL BACKGROUND RADIATION IN MAJOR CITIES OF INDIA AND THE WEIGHTED CONVERSION FACTOR, μ Sv/mR

* C.F. for uncollided terrestrial component 6.66

5.21

7.75

ł

C.F. for scattered component

C.F. for cosmic component

| Sr. No. | State/Territory | Population | Natural | Population Dose | |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | as on 1981 | radiation | Person Sv y | |
| | | (millions) | per caput | | |
| | | | μSv y ⁻¹ | | |
| 1. | Andaman | 0.188 | 450 | 85 | |
| 2. | Andhra Pradesh | 53.404 | 1065 | 56875 | |
| 3. | Assam | 19.903 | 820 | 16320 | |
| 4. | Bihar | 69.823 | 875 | 61 9 05 | |
| 5. | Daman | 0.361 | 490 | 175 | |
| 6. | Delhi | 6.196 | 665 | 4120 | |
| 7. | Diu | 0.361 | 400 | 145 | |
| 8. | Goa | 0.361 | 600 | 215 | |
| 9 . | Gujarat | 33.961 | 490 | 16640 | |
| 10. | Haryana | 12.851 | 800 | 10280 | |
| 11. | Himachal Pradesh | 4.238 | 960 | 4070 | |
| 12. | Jammu & Kashmir | 5.982 | 755 | 4515 | |
| 13. | Karnataka | 37.044 | 585 | 21485 | |
| 14. | Kerala | 25.303 | 595 | 15055 | |
| | (except monazite) | | | | |
| 15. | Laccadiv | 0.402 | 285 | 110 | |
| 16. | Madhya Pradesh | 52.132 | 590 | 30760 | |
| 17. | Maharashtra | 62.694 | 370 | 23190 | |
| 18. | Meghalaya | 1.328 | 720 | 95 5 | |
| 19. | Orissa | 26.272 | 855 | 22465 | |
| 20 . | Pondicherry | 0.604 | 855 | 515 | |
| 21. | Punjab | 16.670 | 685 | 11420 | |
| 22 . | Rajasthan | 34.103 | 610 | 20805 | |
| 23. | Sikkim | 0.316 | 1040 | 330 | |
| 24. | Tamil Nadu | 48.198 | 705 | 33980 | |
| | (except monazite areas) | | | | |
| 25. | Tripura | 2.060 | 620 | 1275 | |
| 26. | Utter Pradesh | 110.858 | 910 | 100880 | |
| 27. | West Bengal | 54. 486 | 740 | 40320 | |
| 28. | Monazite areas | 0.200 | 57 6 0 | 1150 | |
| 29 . | Areas not covered | 3.511 | 690 | 2425 | |
| | Total | 683.810 | | 501655 | |

TABLE 9. POPULATION DOSE DISTRIBUTION IN VARIOUS STATES AND UNION TERRITORIES OF INDIA

All India Average Radiation Per Caput : 690 µSv y⁻¹

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR

t

| | Air-kerma | | Log-normal analysis | | | Radiation per kaput | |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|---------------|
| State/Union | in micro-G | 3y/year | G.M. | G.S.D. | Corr. | in micro-S | sv/year |
| Territory | Mean | S.D. | in µGy/y | | coef. | Mean | \$.D. |
| Andeman | 492. | 0 . | | | | 453. | 0. |
| Andhra Pradesh | 917. | 313. | 868 . | 1.41 | 0.99 | 1064. | 326 . |
| Assam | 842. | 94. | 838. | 1.11 | 0.94 | 819 . | 118. |
| Bihar | 948. | 204. | 928 . | 1.23 | 0.97 | 876. | 208. |
| Daman | 530 . | 0. | _ | - | | 488 . | 0. |
| Delhi | 721 | 2. | 721. | 1.00 | | 663. | 2. |
| Diu | 433. | 0. | _ | | | 398. | 0. |
| Goa | 651 . | 2 7. | 651. | 1.05 | | 600 . | 25. |
| Gujarat | 635. | 255. | 594 . | 1.44 | 0.96 | 491. | 113. |
| Haryana | 874 . | 49 . | 874. | 1.06 | | 799 . | 45 . |
| Himachal Pradesh | 1108. | 1 42 . | 1103. | 1.14 | | 957. | 131. |
| Jammu and Kashmir | 872. | 67. | 869. | 1.07 | 0.89 | 756 . | 68 . |
| Karnataka | 623 . | 247. | 589. | 1.37 | 0.95 | 584. | 187. |
| Kerala | 1408. | 1873. | 897. | 2.14 | 0.84 | 651. | 5 90 . |
| | (646.) | (166.) | (627.) | (1.27) | (0.96) | (593.) | (175.) |
| Laccadive | 301. | 66. | 293. | 1.26 | 0.94 | 287. | 59. |
| Madhya Pradesh | 7 70 . | 231. | 735. | 1.37 | 0.98 | 590 . | 197. |
| Maharashtra | 48 5. | 133. | 466. | 1.32 | 0.98 | 371. | 78. |
| Meghalaya | 694 . | 127. | `681 <i>.</i> | 1.21 | 0.97 | 718. | 147. |
| Orissa | 11 46 . | 625. | 1022 | 1.62 | 0.96 | 853. | 354. |

TABLE 10. AVERAGE EXTERNAL RADIATION BACKGROUND LEVELS IN THE INDIVIDUAL STATES AND UNION TERRITORIES OF INDIA

Note : The data within parantheses have been computed excluding monazite areas -- Insufficient data for analysis.

.

· . .

1

.

a ***

4

TABLE 10. AVERAGE EXTERNAL RADIATION BACKGROUND LEVELS IN THE INDIVIDUAL STATES AND UNION TERRITORIES OF INDIA (Contd.)

| | Air-kerma in micro-Gy/year | | Log-normal analysis | | | Radiation per kaput | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------|
| State/Union | | | G.M | G.S.D. | Corr. | in micro-Sv/vear | |
| Territory | Mean | S.D. | in ⊭Gy /y | | coef. | Mean | S.D . |
| Pondicherry | 927. | 0. | | | | 853. | 0. |
| Punjab | 769 . | 129. | 756 . | 1.19 | 1.00 | 685 . | ,91 . |
| Rajasthan | 675. | 134. | 662. | 1.21 | 0.97 | 609 . | 112. |
| Sikkim | 1043. | · | 1040 | 1.13 | _ | 1039. | 0. |
| Tamil Nadu | 961 . | 1095. | 749. | 1.69 | 0.88 | 715. | 254. |
| | (689.) | (230.) | (666.) | (1.39) | (0.99) | (705.) | (185.) |
| Tripura | 686. | 19. | 685. | 1.03 | | 620. | 17. |
| Uttar Pradesh | 1 006 . | 193 . | 96 5. | 1.24 | 0.93 | 910. | 131. |
| West Bengal | 820. | 161. | 804. | 1.25 | 1.00 | 742. | 107. |

Ж

Note: The data within parantheses have been computed excluding monazite areas

- Insufficient data for analysis.

1. marting & States -

and the second second

•

| Annual average | : 790. ± 245. micro-Gy/year |
|---|-----------------------------|
| State-wise Population weighted annual average | : 688. ± 197. micro-Sv/year |
| Annual average | : 752. ± 209. micro-Gy/year |
| State-wise Population weighted annual average | : 686. ± 195. micro-Sv/year |

(The last two averages have been computed excluding monazite areas)

| Sr. No. | Country | Population-weighted Average air dose rate (terrestrial + cosmic) |
|------------|--------------------|---|
| 1. | FRG | 755 |
| 2. | GDR | 1095 |
| 3. | Italy | 930 |
| 4. | Japan | 650 |
| 5. | Poland | 780 |
| 6 . | Switzerland | 950 |
| 7. | India (This study) | 750 ± 250 |

TABLE 11. POPULATION-WEIGHTED AVERAGE AIR ABSORBED DOSE RATES REPORTED FOR VARIOUS COUNTRIES (BENINSON et al 1977)

TABLE :12 THE AVERAGE RADIATION PER CAPUT FROM THE NATURAL BACKGROUND EXTERNAL RADIATION IN THREE DIFFERENT COUNTRIES

| Country | Radiation per caput µSv/y | Reference |
|---------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| USA | 540 | Johnson et al 1981 |
| UK | 700 | Hughes 1985 |
| India | 690 ± 200 | This study |

TABLE :13 DEATH RATES BY CAUSES IN DIA (DINESH MOHAN 1982)

- 🍝

| | Cause | Annual mortality rate (per 100,000 population) |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | All causes | 1400 |
| 2. | Accidents (all types) | 50 |
| 3. | a) Cancer (all types) | 43 |
| | b) Cancer (external natural background radiation-induced and essuming ICRP risk factor) | 1 (estimated from present study) |





1. 3 Les 143



.,

23





ž

And Andrew -



to consider a state of the to



.





,



.